

Beaufort Wind Limited

Beinn Ghlas Wind Farm Repowering

Environmental Impact Assessment Report (Volume 4)

Appendix 5.1 – LVIA Assessment Criteria

663547







RSK GENERAL NOTES

Project No.: 663547

Title: Beinn Ghlas Wind Farm Repowering Environmental Impact Assessment Report

(Volume 4)

Client: Beaufort Wind Limited

Date: July 2025

Office: Glasgow

Status: Final

Author Pegasus Group

Date: July 2025

RSK Environment Ltd (RSK) has prepared this report for the sole use of the client, showing reasonable skill and care, for the intended purposes as stated in the agreement under which this work was completed. The report may not be relied upon by any other party without the express agreement of the client and RSK. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the professional advice included in this report.

Where any data supplied by the client or from other sources have been used, it has been assumed that the information is correct. No responsibility can be accepted by RSK for inaccuracies in the data supplied by any other party. The conclusions and recommendations in this report are based on the assumption that all relevant information has been supplied by those bodies from whom it was requested.

No part of this report may be copied or duplicated without the express permission of RSK and the party for whom it was prepared.

Where field investigations have been carried out, these have been restricted to a level of detail required to achieve the stated objectives of the work.

This work has been undertaken in accordance with the quality management system of RSK Environment Ltd.



CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	1
2	SENSITIVITY OF RECEPTORS	2
	2.1 Nature (Sensitivity) of Landscape Features	2
	2.2 Nature (Sensitivity) of Landscape Character	
	2.3 Nature (Sensitivity) of Visual Receptors	
3	NATURE (MAGNITUDE) OF CHANGE	
	3.2 Nature (Magnitude) of Change on Landscape Features	4
	3.3 Nature (Magnitude) of Change on Landscape Character	
	3.4 Nature (Magnitude) of Change on Views and Visual Amenity	
4	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	



1 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1.1 This appendix presents the assessment criteria adopted for the assessment of landscape and visual effects arising from the Proposed Development.
- 1.1.2 The primary source of best practice for LVIA in the UK is The Guidelines for Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment, 3rd Edition (GLVIA3) (Landscape Institute and the Institute for Environmental Management and Assessment, 2013)¹. The assessment criteria adopted to inform the assessment of effects has been developed in accordance with the principles established in this best practice document. It should however be acknowledged that GLVIA3 establishes guidelines not a specific methodology. The preface to GLVIA3 states:

'This edition concentrates on principles and processes. It does not provide a detailed or formulaic 'recipe' that can be followed in every situation – it remains the responsibility of the professional to ensure that the approach and methodology adopted are appropriate to the task in hand.'

- 1.1.3 The criteria set out below have therefore been developed specifically for this assessment to ensure that the methodology is appropriate and fit for purpose.
- 1.1.4 The purpose of an LVIA when undertaken in the context of an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) is to identify and describe any likely significant landscape and visual effects arising as a result of the proposals.
- 1.1.5 An LVIA must consider both:
 - effects on the landscape as a resource in its own right (the landscape effects); and
 - effects on specific views and visual amenity more generally (the visual effects).
- 1.1.6 Therefore, separate criteria are set out below for the assessment of landscape and visual effects

¹ Landscape Institute and the Institute for Environmental Management and Assessment (2013). The Guidelines for Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment, 3rd Edition (GLVIA3). Routledge.



2 SENSITIVITY OF RECEPTORS

2.1 Nature (Sensitivity) of Landscape Features

- 2.1.1 The nature or sensitivity of an individual landscape feature or element reflects its susceptibility to change, and the value associated with it. Sensitivity is therefore a function of factors such as the feature's quality, rarity, contribution to landscape character, degree to which the particular element can be replaced and cultural associations or designations that apply. A particular feature may be more 'sensitive' in one location than in another often as a result of local value associated with the feature. Therefore, it is not possible to simply place different types of landscape feature into sensitivity bands. Where individual landscape features are affected, professional judgement is used as far as possible to give an objective evaluation of its sensitivity. Justification is given for this evaluation where necessary.
- 2.1.2 The nature or sensitivity of individual landscape features has been described as **very high, high, medium, low** or **very low**.

2.2 Nature (Sensitivity) of Landscape Character

- 2.2.1 The nature or sensitivity of landscape character reflects its susceptibility to change, and the value associated with it. It is essentially an expression of a landscape's ability to accommodate a particular type of change. It varies depending on the physical and perceptual attributes of the landscape including but not necessarily limited to: scale; degree of openness; landform; existing land cover; landscape pattern and complexity; the extent of human influence in the landscape; the degree of remoteness/wildness; perception of change in the landscape; the importance of landmarks or skylines in the landscape; inter-visibility with and influence on surrounding areas; condition; rarity and scenic quality of the landscape, and the value placed on the landscape including any designations that may apply.
- 2.2.2 In this assessment, the nature or sensitivity of landscape character is considered with reference to a number of local character areas as defined in this LVIA for the purposes of this study. Information regarding the key characteristics of these character areas has been extrapolated from relevant published studies where possible but also informed by project specific field assessment. An assessment of landscape sensitivity to the Proposed Development has been undertaken employing professional judgement for relevant local landscape character areas.
- 2.2.3 The nature or sensitivity of landscape character has been described as **very high, high, medium, low** or **very low**.

2.3 Nature (Sensitivity) of Visual Receptors

2.3.1 The nature or sensitivity of visual receptor groups reflects their susceptibility to change, and the value associated with the specific view in question. Sensitivity varies depending on a number of factors such as the occupation of the viewer, their viewing expectations, duration of view and the angle or direction in which they would see the site. Whilst most views are valued by someone, certain viewpoints are particularly highly valued for either



3

their cultural or historical associations and this can increase the sensitivity of the view. The following criteria are provided for guidance only and are not exclusive:

- **Very Low Sensitivity** People engaged in industrial and commercial activities or military activities.
- Low Sensitivity People at their place of work (e.g. offices); shoppers; users of trunk/major roads and passengers on commercial railway lines (except where these form part of a recognised and promoted scenic route).
- **Medium Sensitivity** Users of public rights of way and minor roads which do not appear to be used primarily for recreational activities or the specific enjoyment of the landscape; recreational activities not specifically focused on the landscape (e.g. football); motel users.
- **High Sensitivity** Residents at home; users of long distance or recreational trails and other sign posted walks; users of public rights of way and minor roads which appear to be used for recreational activities or the specific enjoyment of the landscape; users of caravan parks, campsites and 'destination' hotels; tourist attractions with opportunities for views of the landscape (but not specifically focused on a particular vista); slow paced recreational activities which derive part of their pleasure from an appreciation of setting (e.g. bowling, golf); allotments.
- **Very High Sensitivity** People at recognised vantage points (often with interpretation boards), people at tourist attractions with a focus on a specific view, visitors to historic features/estates where the setting is important to an appreciation and understanding of cultural value.
- 2.3.2 It is important to appreciate that it is the visual receptor (i.e., the person) that has a sensitivity and not a property, public right of way or road. Also, the sensitivity of a receptor group is not influenced by the number of receptors. As an example, although many people may use a motorway, this does not increase the sensitivity of each receptor using it. Likewise, a residential property may only have one person living in it but this does not reduce the sensitivity of that one receptor. Whilst the number of receptors affected at any given location may be a planning consideration, for the purposes of this assessment it does not alter the sensitivity of the receptor group.
- 2.3.3 Where judgements are made about the sensitivity of assessment viewpoints, the sensitivity rating provided is an evaluation of the sensitivity of the receptor group represented by the viewpoint and not a reflection of the number of people who may experience the view.
- 2.3.4 For some developments (e.g. wind energy developments) it important not to confuse the concept of visual sensitivity with the perception of the development. For example, it is recognised that some people consider wind turbines to be unattractive, but others enjoy the sight of them.



3 NATURE (MAGNITUDE) OF CHANGE

General Note

- 3.1.1 The following discussion sets out the approach adopted in this LVIA in relation to a specific issue arising in GLVIA3 which requires a brief explanation.
- 3.1.2 Prior to the publication of GLVIA3, LVIA practice had evolved over time in tandem with most other environmental disciplines to consider significance principally as a function of two factors, namely: sensitivity of the receptor and magnitude of change (the term 'magnitude' being a word most commonly used in LVIA and most other environmental disciplines to describe the size or scale of an effect).
- 3.1.3 Box 3.1 on page 37 of GLVIA3 references a 2011 publication by IEMA entitled 'The State of EIA Practice in the UK' which reiterates the importance of considering not just the scale or size of the change but other factors which combine to define the 'nature of the change' including factors such as the probability of an impact occurring and the duration, reversibility and spatial extent of the change.
- 3.1.4 The flow diagram on page 39 of GLVIA3 now suggests that the magnitude of change is a function of three factors (the size/scale of the change, the duration of the change and the reversibility of the change). This is somewhat problematic in the context of assessing wind energy development.
- 3.1.5 The Proposed Development is for a time limited period and would be largely reversible at the end of the operational period. This is a material consideration in the planning balance but does not reduce the scale of the impact during the period in which the scheme is operational (i.e. the 'magnitude' of the change in the traditional and commonly understood sense of the word). In this regard, it would be incorrect to report a lesser magnitude of change to the landscape or view as a result of the time limited period of the effect or the relative reversibility of the effect.
- 3.1.6 The approach taken in this LVIA is therefore to consider magnitude of change solely as the scale or size of the impact in the traditional sense of the term 'magnitude'. Having identified the magnitude of change as defined above, the LVIA also describes the duration and reversibility of the identified impact before drawing a conclusion on significance.
- 3.1.7 In the context of the above discussion, the following criteria have been adopted to describe the magnitude of change.

3.2 Nature (Magnitude) of Change on Landscape Features

- 3.2.1 Professional judgement has been used as appropriate to determine the magnitude of direct physical change on individual existing landscape features using the following criteria as guidance only:
 - Very Low Magnitude of Change No loss or alteration to existing landscape features;
 - Low Magnitude of Change Minor loss or alteration to part of an existing landscape feature;
 - Medium Magnitude of Change Some loss or alteration to part of an existing landscape feature;



- **High Magnitude of Change** Major loss or major alteration to an existing landscape feature; and
- Very High Magnitude of Change Total loss or alteration to an existing landscape feature.

3.3 Nature (Magnitude) of Change on Landscape Character

- 3.3.1 The magnitude of change on landscape character is influenced by a number of factors including: the extent to which existing landscape features are lost or altered, the introduction of new features and the resulting alteration to the physical and perceptual characteristics of the landscape. Professional judgement has been used as appropriate to determine the magnitude using the following criteria as guidance only. In doing so, it is recognised that usually the landscape components in the immediate surroundings have a stronger influence on the sense of landscape character than distant features whilst acknowledging the fact that more distant features can have an influence on landscape character as well.
 - Very Low Magnitude of Change No notable loss or alteration to existing landscape features; no notable introduction of new features into the landscape; and negligible change to the key physical and/or perceptual attributes of the landscape.
 - Low Magnitude of Change Minor loss or alteration to existing landscape features; introduction of minor new features into the landscape; or minor alteration to the key physical and/or perceptual attributes of the landscape.
 - **Medium Magnitude of Change** Some notable loss or alteration to existing landscape features; introduction of some notable new features into the landscape; or some notable change to the key physical and/or perceptual attributes of the landscape.
 - **High Magnitude of Change** A major loss or alteration to existing landscape features; introduction of major new features into the landscape; or a major change to the key physical and/or perceptual attributes of the landscape.
 - **Very High Magnitude of Change** Total loss or alteration to existing landscape features; introduction of dominant new features into the landscape; a very major change to the key physical and/or perceptual attributes of the landscape.

3.4 Nature (Magnitude) of Change on Views and Visual Amenity

- 3.4.1 Visual effects are caused by the introduction of new elements into the views of a landscape or the removal of elements from the existing view.
- 3.4.2 Professional judgement, has been used to determine the magnitude of impacts using the following criteria as guidance only:
 - Very Low Magnitude of Change No change or negligible change in views;
 - Low Magnitude of Change Some change in the view that is not prominent but visible to some visual receptors;
 - **Medium Magnitude of Change** Some change in the view that is clearly notable in the view and forms an easily identifiable component in the view;
 - **High Magnitude of Change** A major change in the view that is highly prominent and has a strong influence on the overall view.
 - **Very High Magnitude of Change** A change in the view that has a dominating or overbearing influence on the overall view.



- 3.4.3 Using this set of criteria, determining levels of magnitude is primarily dependant on how prominent the Proposed Development would be in the landscape, and what may be judged to flow from that prominence or otherwise.
- 3.4.4 For clarification, the use of the term 'prominent' relates to how noticeable the features of the Proposed Development would be. This is affected by how close the viewpoint is to the Proposed Development but not entirely dependent on this factor. Other modifying factors include: the focus of the view, visual screening and the nature and scale of other landscape features within the view. Rather than specifying crude bands of distance at which the turbines will be dominant, prominent or incidental to the view etc., the prominence of the turbines in each view is described in detail for each viewpoint taking all the relevant variables into consideration.

Duration of Change

- 3.4.5 For the purposes of this assessment, the temporal nature of each impact with is described as follows:
 - Long Term over 5 years
 - Medium Term between 1 and 5 years
 - Short Term under 1 year

Reversibility of Change

- 3.4.6 The LVIA also describes the reversibility of each identified impact using the following terms:
 - Permanent impact is non reversible
 - Non-permanent impact is reversible



4 SIGNIFICANCE OF EFFECTS

- 4.1.1 The purpose of an LVIA when produced in the context of an EIA is to identify and describe any significant effects on landscape and visual amenity arising from the proposed development.
- 4.1.2 The Electricity Works (Environmental Impact Assessment) (Scotland) Regulations 2017 do not define a threshold at which an effect may be determined to be significant. In certain other environmental disciplines, there are regulatory thresholds or quantitative standards which help to determine the threshold of what constitutes a significant effect. However, in LVIA any judgement about what constitutes a significant effect is ostensibly a subjective opinion expressed as in this case by a competent and appropriately qualified professional assessor.
- 4.1.3 The level (relative significance) of landscape and visual effects is determined by combining judgements regarding the sensitivity of the landscape or view, magnitude of change, duration of effect and the reversibility of the effect. In determining the level of residual effects, all mitigation measures are taken into account.
- 4.1.4 The relative level of effect is described as **major**, **moderate major**, **moderate**, **minor** moderate, minor or minor to no effect. No effect may also be recorded as appropriate where the effect is so negligible it is not even noteworthy.
- 4.1.5 Those effects described as **major**, **moderate major** and, in some cases **moderate** may be regarded as significant effects as required by the EIA Regulations.
- 4.1.6 Judgements of the level of effect and significance of the effect are reached as an outcome of different combinations of sensitivity and magnitude of change. The indicative matrix shown below at Table 5.1.1 is used as a guide on which judgements are based. Each receptor is considered on an individual basis through professional judgement, so although the matrix may be used as an initial guide, there will inevitably be some variation between outcomes, especially where a judgement falls within the light grey area, which may or may not be significant.



Table 5.1.1: Matrix of Landscape and Visual Effects

		Magnitude of change					
		Very High	High	Medium	Low	Very Low	
Sensitivity	Very High	Major	Major	Moderate Major	Moderate	Moderate Minor	
	High	Major	Major	Moderate	Moderate Minor	Minor	
	Medium	Moderate Major	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate Minor	Minor	
	Low	Moderate	Moderate Minor	Moderate Minor	Minor	Minor / No effect	
	Very Low	Moderate Minor	Minor	Minor	Minor / No effect	No effect	

Significant

May be Significant

Not Significant